

JS-6

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

SREAM, INC, a California corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

GEORGE SAAD IBRAHIM; UMIYAJI,  
INC.; EIAD ABDULHAI; and DOES 1-10  
INCLUSIVE,

Defendants.

Case No. SACV15–483 JVS (AGRx)

**STIPULATED FINAL JUDGMENT  
AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION  
AGAINST DEFENDANT GEORGE  
SAAD IBRAHIM**

**JUDGMENT**

**FINAL JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

This Court, having made the following findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to the parties' stipulation:

A. Plaintiff Sream, Inc. ("Sream" or "Plaintiff") filed suit against Defendant George Saad Ibrahim ("Ibrahim"), alleging that Ibrahim violated Sream's rights under 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1116, 1125(a), (c), and (d), and Cal. Bus & Prof. § 17200 *et seq.* ("Action");

B. The Parties entered into a settlement agreement as of December 2015 ("Settlement Agreement"), which requires entry of the stipulated judgment set forth herein;

And good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. That judgment be entered in favor of Sream against Ibrahim on all claims.
2. For the purposes of binding preclusive effect on Ibrahim as to future disputes between Ibrahim and Sream, and only for such purposes, Ibrahim admits the following:
  - a. Mr. Martin Birzle is now, and has been at all times since the dates of issuance, the owner of United States Trademark Registration Nos. 2,235,638; 2,307,176; and 3,675,839 (the "RooR Marks") and of all rights thereto and thereunder.
  - b. The RooR Marks are valid and enforceable.
  - c. Since at least 2013, Plaintiff Sream has been the exclusive licensee of the RooR Marks in the United States. Mr. Birzle has been granted all enforcement rights to Sream to sue for obtain injunctive and monetary relief for past and future infringement of the RooR Marks.
  - d. Ibrahim, by the actions described in the complaint, has infringed upon the RooR Marks.
3. Ibrahim, and those acting on Ibrahim's behalf (including its owners, shareholders, principals, officers, agents, servants, employees, independent contractors, and partners), are permanently enjoined from producing, manufacturing, distributing, selling, offer for sale, advertising, promoting, licensing, or marketing (a) any product bearing the

1 RooR Marks or (b) any design, mark, or feature that is confusingly similar to the RooR  
2 Marks (collectively, the “**Injunction**”).


3 4. Ibrahim is bound by the Injunction regardless of whether Mr. Martin Birzle  
4 assigns or licenses its intellectual property rights to another for so long as such trademark  
5 rights are subsisting, valid, and enforceable. The Injunction inures to the benefit of Mr.  
6 Martin Birzle’s successors, assignees, and licensees.

7 5. This Court (or if this Court is unavailable, any court within the Central District  
8 of California) shall retain jurisdiction over all disputes between and among the Parties  
9 arising out of the Settlement Agreement and Injunction, the Stipulation which includes the  
10 Injunction, and this final judgment, including but not limited to interpretation and  
11 enforcement of the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

12 6. The Parties waive any rights to appeal this stipulated judgment, including  
13 without limitation the Injunction.

14  
15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16  
17 Dated: \_January 05, 2016

18  
19   
20 Hon. James v. Selna  
21 United States District Judge  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28